

RESEARCH



FACTS and

FINDINGS

A collaboration of Cornell University, the University of Rochester, and the Center for School Safety

Pregnancy Risk Among Bisexual, Lesbian, and Gay Youth: What Does Research Tell Us?

by Karen Schantz

In adolescence, when sexual exploration is new, many people have both male and female sexual partners. Regardless of their identity or orientation, youth can be vulnerable to pregnancy involvement. Sexual health programs are often slightly adapted so that abstinence and STD education will be inclusive of lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender (LGBT) youth. However, the pregnancy prevention needs of sexual minority youth are not always a clear priority. This article summarizes recent investigations into the pregnancy risk of LGB teens, and concludes with recommendations from researchers.



The state of research

In 1999, Saewyc and colleagues published their finding that lesbian and bisexual girls who participated in the Minnesota Adolescent Health Survey had an elevated risk of pregnancy (cited in Institute of Medicine, 2011). Since that surprising finding, a number of other studies have either confirmed a higher rate or concluded that lesbian and bisexual young women (who have had sex with males) have similar rates of pregnancy compared to heterosexual females. Most of this research has been conducted outside the United States or used samples that are not nationally representative. Only one US study examining pregnancy among LGB youth has used a nationally representative sample (Tornello, Riskind, & Patterson, 2014).

What groups have been surveyed?

Identity and/or behavior groups. Because identity does not always predict behavior, and because identity may change over time, there is more than one way to look at the question of LGB pregnancy risk. In some cases, data are

Karen Schantz is an extension support specialist in Cornell University's Bronfenbrenner Center for Translational Research, and communications coordinator for ACT for Youth.



April 2015

classified according to how survey respondents self-identify. One study of young women, for example, compared respondents who identified themselves as lesbian, bisexual, or heterosexual, regardless of behavior (Tornello et al., 2014). This approach yields useful information for those who are working with youth who have come out, but it will not capture data on bisexual behavior among youth who have not chosen to think of themselves in this way. Another approach combines self-identification with behavior. In their analysis, for instance, Herrick and colleagues (2013) defined “young sexual minority women” as “individuals who self-label as lesbian, bisexual, or other nonheterosexual identity or are attracted to or have sex with women.” This way of categorizing the data is better suited to describing all bisexual behavior among women.

Males. Research into pregnancy risk has largely focused on females, but there has been some inquiry into pregnancy involvement by young men who have sex with both men and women (e.g., Parkes et al., 2011; Saewyc et al., 2008). There is much more research into the risk factors that make men who have sex with men vulnerable to HIV/AIDS and other STDs, and of course many of these factors can also influence involvement in pregnancy.

Transgender youth. It’s safe to say that the pregnancy risk of transgender teens has not been deeply investigated by researchers (Mustanski, 2015). In the studies identified for this article, adolescents who are transgender were sometimes included tangentially as part of a larger group of sexual minority youth. The issues transgender youth confront are not identical to those faced by LGB youth, however. For example, a transgender youth who identifies as male may not consider himself capable of becoming pregnant, and may not think that pregnancy prevention information applies to him, even if he is sexually active with males and retains female anatomy.

Understanding the OBI: Sexual Orientation, Behavior, and Identity

This presentation by Elizabeth Schroeder teases apart the key characteristics of sexuality, with a focus on presenting the information to youth.

www.actforyouth.net/publications/results.cfm?t=n_obi

Research findings

Prevalence of bisexual behaviors

To better understand the extent of same-sex behaviors in adolescence, researchers analyzed a large, nationally representative sample of youth age 15-21 who were not married or living with a partner (McCabe et al., 2011). While only 3% of males and 6% of females in the 2002 survey described themselves as “homosexual” or “bisexual,” higher numbers—4% of males and 11% of females—reported that they had engaged in same-sex activity. Clearly, describing oneself as either “gay/lesbian” or “heterosexual” does not necessarily rule out bisexual behavior, especially among young women. A counter-intuitive finding of this study was that females who identified themselves as lesbian or bisexual or who reported same-sex attraction were more likely to engage in sex with males than were females who identified themselves as heterosexual.

Analysis of the 2005 and 2007 New York City Youth Risk Behavior Surveys also found that sexually active girls were more likely than boys to engage in bisexual behaviors (9% vs. 4%, respectively) (Pathela & Schillinger, 2010). Two out of three youth who had same-sex partners also had opposite-sex partners. Perhaps



unexpectedly, at least two school-based studies have found that most sexually active students who reported engaging exclusively in same-sex behavior identified themselves as heterosexual (Goodenow et al., 2002; Pathela & Schillinger, 2010).

Pregnancy risk

Whether they identify as lesbian/gay or bisexual, adolescent women who have sex with men have pregnancy rates that are as high or higher than their heterosexual counterparts (Charlton et al., 2013; Saewyc, 2011; Tornello et al., 2014). At least two studies in school settings have also shown that gay and bisexual boys are more likely to be involved in a pregnancy than are heterosexual boys (Parkes et al., 2011; Saewyc et al., 2008). In the UK, one large study found that youth with same and opposite sex partners had greatly increased odds of pregnancy involvement, compared to youth who engaged solely in heterosexual sex (Parkes et al., 2011).

Risk behaviors

How do we explain these rates in a population that might be expected to have lower levels of pregnancy involvement? Studies have repeatedly demonstrated that youth who have had both male and female partners face higher risk factors for pregnancy than do teens who engage in exclusively heterosexual behaviors.

Compared to their heterosexual counterparts:

- Lesbian and bisexual girls and women are more likely to have begun having **sex at an early age**, especially bisexual females (Pathela & Schillinger, 2010; Saewyc et al., 2008; Tornello et al., 2014). Male high school students who have sex with both girls and boys are also more likely to have begun having sex at an early age (Pathela & Schillinger, 2010; Saewyc et al., 2008).
- Sexual minority youth, especially bisexual youth, are more likely to have **multiple partners** (Goodenow et al., 2002; Kann et al., 2011; Pathela & Schillinger, 2010; Saewyc et al., 2008; Tornello et al., 2014).
- Sexual minority youth are more likely to have sex while under the influence of **alcohol or other drugs** (Herrick et al., 2011, Pathela & Schillinger, 2010; Saewyc et al., 2008).
- High school boys who have sex with both girls and boys are **less likely to have used a condom** at last sex (Kann et al., 2011; Pathela & Schillinger, 2010; Saewyc et al., 2008).
- Young women who identify as bisexual have **higher numbers of male partners** (Tornello et al., 2014).

Among young sexual minority youth, higher levels of risky sexual behavior (variously defined) are associated with:

- **Bisexual or questioning identity**, compared to women who self-identify as gay/lesbian (Herrick et al., 2013; Tornello et al., 2014).
- **Bisexual behavior**. Compared to other subgroups, youth who have sex with both males and females tend to demonstrate greater levels of risk (e.g., Goodenow et al., 2002; Parkes et al., 2011; Pathela & Schillinger, 2010; Tornello et al., 2014).



- **Use of alcohol** (Herrick et al., 2013).
- **Older age** (per Herrick and colleagues' 2013 study of 16-24 year old women).
- **Having an older partner** (more than five years) (Herrick et al., 2013).

Environmental factors

Teens' decisions about sex and fertility are influenced by a host of environmental factors and relationships that may be protective or hostile. A girl who has been rejected by her family may believe that a baby will give her the love and connection she misses. A boy who is tormented by others for being gay may want a baby to disguise his sexuality. Less directly, environmental risk factors such as living in communities or families where violence, hunger, and substance abuse are the norm compound to raise the odds for adolescent pregnancy (Kirby, 2007). Over 500 risk and protective factors that influence adolescent pregnancy and sexual health have been identified by researchers (Kirby, 2007). Youth who are members of sexual minority groups experience disproportionately high levels of some of these factors.

- Sexual minority youth face high levels of **discrimination, harassment, and violence** (Coker et al., 2010), which may be risk factors for pregnancy involvement for lesbians and for bisexual youth. In one study involving middle and high school students, pregnancy involvement was higher among sexual minority girls and bisexual boys who had faced anti-gay discrimination and harassment in the past year (Saewyc et al., 2008).
- High school students who have sex with both male and female partners are more likely to experience **dating violence** (Pathela & Schillinger, 2010).
- Young women who identify as lesbian or bisexual are more likely to have experienced **forced sex** (Tornello et al., 2014). Male and female high school students with bisexual behavior also more likely to have experienced forced sex (Pathela & Schillinger, 2010).
- LGB youth may have higher rates of **sexual exploitation, abuse, and survival sex**, and are more likely to be **homeless** (Coker et al., 2010, Saewyc et al., 2008).
- Sexual minority youth may also have **fewer protective factors** buffering against risk. One study that examined protective resources among middle and high school students found that bisexual students—especially girls—felt less connected to their families and schools (Saewyc et al., 2009). Positive family connectedness has been linked to lower levels of risk taking, and school connectedness protects against teen pregnancy (Kirby, 2007). Family and school connectedness have also been linked in at least one study to lower risk of pregnancy involvement for LGB adolescents (Saewyc, 2011).

Recommendations from Researchers

How can pregnancy disparities for LGB adolescents be addressed? Researchers have put forward a range of recommendations and calls to action, from sex education designed for specific populations to universal approaches that address the social determinants of health.



Some investigators recommend tailored sex education and services, given the unique contexts for each sexual minority group (Herrick et al., 2013; Mustanski, 2015). For example, Mustanski points to coming out to one's parents as an issue with serious implications for health and well-being—one that could be partly addressed by well-designed interventions. He also suggests that technology-based interventions be developed that can reach LGB youth when they are exploring their sexuality, seeking partners and information online or through apps. Sex education and services that are tailored to LGB youth should include pregnancy prevention, and be culturally appropriate (Herrick et al., 2013).

Though most do not become pregnant, bisexual girls as a population bear the burden of especially high pregnancy rates, suggesting that they may benefit from interventions that take their specific needs into account (Tornello et al., 2014; Mustanski, 2015). Researchers also note that while data on bisexual health disparities are mounting, our understanding of bisexuality is poor; further research and theory development are needed to help us better support bisexual youth (Saewyc, 2011).

However valuable, tailored programs will not benefit all LGB youth, since many young people do not identify with these labels (Goodenow et al., 2002; McCabe et al., 2011). Sex education that reaches the larger population should address the development of sexual orientation and LGB health, including pregnancy risk. As Saewyc and colleagues point out, “If sexual education programs ignore LGB youth sexual health issues, [LGB youth] may conclude that the information is irrelevant to their lives, and ‘tune out’ important information about contraception and safer sexual practices,” leaving them unprepared (2008).

Beyond sex education, some researchers call for addressing sexual health through interventions that attend to social determinants and contextual factors, such as building stronger family support or addressing school climate and connectedness (Herrick et al., 2013; Saewyc et al., 2008). LGB support groups in schools, for example, can lessen victimization and improve the environment for sexual minority youth (Coker et al., 2010). Research into the factors that help LGB youth thrive could lead to interventions that promote resiliency and reduce sexual risk behaviors (Herrick et al., 2013; Mustanski, 2015; Saewyc, 2011).

Health disparities among sexual minority youth are not limited to pregnancy and sexual health, of course. Studies have linked the harassment, stigma, family rejection, and sexual and physical abuse that many LGBT youth face to a range of poor health outcomes (for summaries, see Coker et al., 2010 and Saewyc, 2011). This too may argue for a more universal, ecological approach, such as positive youth development, that improves health across many dimensions by building assets and resiliency for all youth.

In an editorial entitled “Same-Sex Attraction and Health Disparities; Do Sexual Minority Youth Really Need Something Different for Healthy Development?” (2011), Halpern contends that despite the high levels of risk seen among these youth, sorting people into sexual orientation categories may fly in the face of human experience: In its lived complexity, sexuality defies categorization. Healthy sexual development,

LGBTQ Inclusion in Youth Program Environments

In this edition of *PrACTice Matters*, L. Maurer offers strategies that those who work with youth can use to address some of the disparities that LGBT youth face.

www.actforyouth.net/publications/results.cfm?t=pm_lgbtq_0613



however, is relevant to all youth. According to Halpern, youth need the same assets no matter what their sexual orientation, and "...more nuanced assessment of factors, such as the quality, timing, and meaning of sexual experiences—rather than the biological sex of one's partner—are the keys to understand and promote healthy sexuality." She suggests that we build assets for all youth, rather than focusing on same-sex attraction as the most essential aspect of development for youth who are lesbian, gay, or bisexual.

Are tailored or universal approaches more effective? We don't yet know. Fortunately, research is underway that in time will offer new insights. Pregnancy prevention among LGBT youth has become a public health priority, as evidenced by US Department of Health and Human Services efforts to identify, evaluate, and fund interventions that are relevant to and effective with sexual minority youth. Until we have a clearer picture, we can support young people by acknowledging the complexity of sexuality, and partnering with youth to create the conditions for health and well-being. ★

References

- Charlton, B. M., Corliss, H. L., Missmer, S. A., Rosario, M., Spiegelman, D., & Austin, S. B. (2013). Sexual orientation differences in teen pregnancy and hormonal contraceptive use: An examination across 2 generations. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 209(3), 204.e1-204.e8. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2013.06.036
- Coker, T. R., Austin, S. B., & Schuster, M. A. (2010). The health and health care of lesbian, gay, and bisexual adolescents. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 31, 457-477. doi:10.1146/annurev.publhealth.012809.103636
- Goodenow, C., Netherland, J., & Szalacha, L. (2002). AIDS-related risk among adolescent males who have sex with males, females, or both: Evidence from a statewide survey. *American Journal of Public Health*, 92(2), 203-10.
- Halpern, C. T. (2011). Same-sex attraction and health disparities: Do sexual minority youth really need something different for healthy development? *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 48(1), 5-6. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2010.10.010
- Herrick, A., Kuhns, L., Kinsky, S., Johnson, A., & Garofalo, R. (2013). Demographic, psychosocial, and contextual factors associated with sexual risk behaviors among young sexual minority women. *Journal of the American Psychiatric Nurses Association*, 19(6), 345-355. doi:10.1177/1078390313511328
- Herrick, A. L., Marshal, M. P., Smith, H. A., Sucato, G., & Stall, R. D. (2011). Sex while intoxicated: A meta-analysis comparing heterosexual and sexual minority youth. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 48(3), 306-309. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2010.07.008
- Institute of Medicine. (2011). *The health of lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender people: Building a foundation for better understanding*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. <http://www.nap.edu/catalog/13128/the-health-of-lesbian-gay-bisexual-and-transgender-people-building>
- Kann, L., Olsen, E. O., McManus, T., Kinchen, S., Chyen, D., Harris, W. A., & Wechsler, H. (2011). Sexual identity, sex of sexual contacts, and health-risk behaviors among students in grades 9-12 -- Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance, selected sites, United States, 2001-2009. *MMWR Surveillance Summaries*, 60(SS-7), 1-134.
- Kirby, D. (2007). *Emerging answers 2007: Research findings on programs to reduce teen pregnancy and sexually transmitted diseases*. Washington, DC: National Campaign to Prevent Teen and Unplanned Pregnancy. <http://thenationalcampaign.org/resource/emerging-answers-2007%E2%80%9494full-report>
- McCabe, J., Brewster, K. L., & Tillman, K. H. (2011). Patterns and correlates of same-sex



sexual activity among US teenagers and young adults. *Perspectives on Sexual and Reproductive Health*, 43(3), 142-150. doi:10.1363/4314211

Mustanski, B. (2015). Future directions in research on sexual minority adolescent mental, behavioral, and sexual health. *Journal of Clinical Child & Adolescent Psychology*, 44(1), 204-219.

Parkes, A., Strange, V., Wight, D., Bonell, C., Copas, A., Henderson, M., . . . Hart, G. (2011). Comparison of teenagers' early same-sex and heterosexual behavior: UK data from the SHARE and RIPPLE studies. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 48(1), 27-35. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2010.05.010

Pathela, P., & Schillinger, J. A. (2010). Sexual behaviors and sexual violence: Adolescents with opposite-, same-, or both-sex partners. *Pediatrics*, 126(5), 879-886. doi:10.1542/peds.2010-0396

Saewyc, E., Homma, Y., Skay, C. L., Bearinger, L. H., Resnick, M. D., & Reis, E. (2009). Protective factors in the lives of bisexual adolescents in North America. *American Journal of Public Health*, 99(1), 110-7.

Saewyc, E. M., Poon, C. S., Homma, Y., & Skay, C. L. (2008). Stigma management? The links between enacted stigma and teen pregnancy trends among gay, lesbian, and bisexual students in British Columbia. *The Canadian Journal of Human Sexuality*, 17(3), 123-139.

Saewyc, E. M. (2011). Research on adolescent sexual orientation: Development, health disparities, stigma, and resilience. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, 21(1), 256-272. doi:10.1111/j.1532-7795.2010.00727.x

Tornello, S. L., Riskind, R. G., & Patterson, C. J. (2014). Sexual orientation and sexual and reproductive health among adolescent young women in the United States. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 54(2), 160-168. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2013.08.018



Bronfenbrenner Center for
Translational Research
Beebe Hall
Cornell University
Ithaca, New York 14853
t. 607.255.7736
f. 607.255.8562
act4youth@cornell.edu

www.actforyouth.net

www.nysyouth.net

The ACT for Youth Center of Excellence

The ACT for Youth Center of Excellence connects youth development research to practice in New York State and beyond. Areas of focus include positive youth development in programs and communities, adolescent development, and adolescent sexual health. Visit us: www.actforyouth.net

The Center of Excellence is also home base for the ACT Youth Network. Visit our youth site: www.nysyouth.net

Receive announcements of new publications and youth development resources by subscribing to the *ACT for Youth Update*, an e-letter that appears 1-2 times each month. Subscribe on the ACT for Youth website: www.actforyouth.net/publications/update.cfm

The ACT for Youth Center of Excellence is a partnership among Cornell University Bronfenbrenner Center for Translational Research, Cornell University Cooperative Extension of New York City, the Center for School Safety, and the University of Rochester Medical Center Adolescent Medicine Division.